

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,938	08/27/2003	Daniel John Smith	1171/39464A/99A-	6085
	7590 12/28/2007 JSHNELL, GIANGIORGI		EXAM	INER
BLACKSTON	E & MARR, LTD.	8/27/2003 Daniel John Smith 1171/39464A/99A- 6085 L, GIANGIORGI, RR, LTD. EXAMINER PATEL, NIHIR B		
105 WEST ADAMS STREET SUITE 3600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	CTTC - CO - TT - CO - CO - CO - CO - CO			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		H			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/649,938	SMITH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nihir Patel	3772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			dress		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	04.2007.				
•	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4 and 7-10</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	-				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Oπice	e Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			Store		
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	•	ed in this National	Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , ,	ed			
	co and continue copies not recent				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12.04.2007.	6) Other:	. atom reproduction			

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on December 4th, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant states that Zwann does not disclose an enclosing outer wall defining a flexible gases passageway between the inlet and outlet, at least a region of the enclosing outer wall being of a material that allows the passage of water vapour without allowing the passage of liquid water or respiratory gases through the enclosure outer wall and the heating wire located within the passageway. The examiner disagrees. Zwann does teach an enclosing outer wall defining a flexible gases passageway between the inlet 2 and outlet 3, at least a region of the enclosing outer wall being of a material that allows the passage of water vapour without allowing the passage of liquid water or respiratory gases through the enclosure outer wall (see page 5 lines 18-25) and the heating wire located within the passageway (see figure 7).

The applicant also states that Zwann does not teach a water compartment having an inlet and an outlet or an outer wall. The examiner disagrees the water compartment 30 does have an inlet 45 (page 6 lines 1-10) and an outlet (the water being evaporated and passing through the wall is defined as the outlet).

2. The applicant also states that plastic cannot be defined as flexible. The examiner would like to point out that the applicant's specification has not provided a definition or an explanation of what the applicant regards as a flexible material and therefore the word "plastic" when defining it broadly is a material that is capable of adapting to varying conditions (flexible).

10/649,938 Art Unit: 3772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zwaan et al. (Patent GB 2 252 515 A).
- 5. As to claim 1, Zwaan teaches an apparatus that comprises an inlet 2 (see figure 7); an outlet 3 (see figure 7); an enclosing outer wall defining a flexible gases passageway between the inlet and the outlet, at least a region of the enclosing outer wall being of a material that allows the passage of water vapour with allowing the passage of liquid water or respiratory gases through the enclosure outer wall (see page 5 lines 18-25), and a heater wire 50 (see page 6 lines 10-15) located with the passageway (see figure 7), wherein the flexible conduit is of type suitable for conveying breathing gas to or from a patient (see abstract).
- 5. As to claim 3, Zwaan teaches an apparatus wherein the heater wire 50 (see page 6 lines 10-15) lies freely in the conduit to settle over at least some of its length at low points in the conduit where condensed water vapor may collect (see figure 7).
- 6. As to claims 5 and 6, Zwaan teaches an apparatus comprises an inner conduit, having an inlet 26, outlet 26 and an enclosing outer wall, an outer conduit, having a second inlet and a second outlet (see figure 7), the inner conduit located within the outer conduit (see figure 7), the

10/649,938

Art Unit: 3772

inlet of the inner conduit and the second outlet of the outer conduit being located at a first end of the flexible conduit (see figure 7); and the outlet of the inner conduit and the second inlet of the outer conduit being located at a second end of the flexible conduit (see figure 7), and the heater wire 50 (see page 6 lines 10-15) located in a space between the inner and outer conduit (see figure 7).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims **2**, **4 and 7-10** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach a conduit being an expiratory limb.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/649,938

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit 3772

Wilin Fate

Nihir Patel

PATRICIA BIANCO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700